PLANNING COMMITTEE	DATE: 26/02/2018
REPORT OF THE SENIOR PLANNING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION MANAGER	DOLGELLAU

Number: 10

Application

C17/1094/36/LL

Number:

Date 22/11/2017

Registered:

Application Full - Planning

Type:

Community: Dolbenmaen

Ward: Dolbenmaen

Proposal: Change of use from public house to dwelling

house

Location: The Cross Foxes, Garndolbenmaen, Gwynedd,

LL519TX

Summary of the

TO APPROVE SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS **Recommendation:**

PLANNING COMMITTEE	DATE: 26/02/2018
REPORT OF THE SENIOR PLANNING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION MANAGER	DOLGELLAU

1. Description:

- A full application for the change of use from public house to one dwelling house at Cross Foxes, Garndolbenmaen. The existing building provides a public house facility on the ground floor and a living unit on the first floor. The proposal as shown would involve making minor internal changes to create one living unit within the building. For clarity, the existing internal arrangement and proposed changes are as follows:
 - Existing: Ground floor two bar areas, kitchen, toilets, storage First floor lounge, kitchen, study, bathroom, two bedrooms
 - Proposed: Ground floor lounge, dining room, kitchen, storage, toilet, utility room

First floor - lounge, kitchen, study, bathroom, three bedrooms

- No changes would be made to the external structure, other than the removal of the existing sign. The building ceased to be used as a public house in March 2017. According to the applicant, this is briefly the history of the building during her ownership:
 - The Cross Foxes was bought in April 2007 and was run as a public house until the end of March 2017.
 - The public house was initially put on the market in May 2011 with a national agent
 - The agent was changed in 2013 to another national agent
 - In 2014, they reverted to the original agent, who still works on their behalf
 - The building has been marketed on the website of a specialist sales company, Sidney Phillips, who often sells public houses in Gwynedd; and in publications such as Daltons Weekly and Morning Advertiser
 - It is claimed that local residents are aware that the business is for sale, no one visited the building as a result of the marketing
 - A website was set up, leaflets were produced, a brown tourism sign was purchased and installed at the junction to the village on the nearby highway
 - The different offers and activities held in conjunction with the business were also noted
- The building is located in a fairly prominent site at the centre of Garndolbenmaen. A car park and beer garden are to the rear of the building with the entrance to the side. The whole site is within the development boundary of the village of Garndolbenmaen, and the National Park boundary is directly opposite the public road that runs past the front of the building. It is surrounded by a mixture of buildings in terms of size and appearance as well as use, but the majority are residential.

2. Relevant Policies:

PLANNING COMMITTEE	DATE: 26/02/2018
REPORT OF THE SENIOR PLANNING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION MANAGER	DOLGELLAU

- 2.1 Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and paragraph 2.1.2 of Planning Policy Wales emphasise that planning decisions should be made in accordance with the Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Planning considerations include National Planning Policy and the Local Development Plan.
- 2.2 The Well-being of Future Generations Act (Wales) 2015 places a duty on the Council to take reasonable steps in exercising its functions to meet the seven well-being goals within the Act. This report has been prepared in consideration of the Council's duty and the 'sustainable development principle', as set out in the 2015 Act. In reaching the recommendation, the Council has sought to ensure that the needs of the present are met without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

2.3 Anglesey and Gwynedd Joint Local Development Plan 2011-26 adopted 31 July 2017

ISA 2: Community Facilities

TRA 2: Parking Standards

TRA 4: Managing transport impacts PCYFF 1: Development Boundaries PCYFF 2: Development criteria

MAN 4: Safeguarding shops and pubs in villages

PS 16: Housing Provision PS 17: Settlement Strategy

TAI 4: Housing in Local, Rural and Coastal Villages

2.4 **National Policies:**

Planning Policy Wales, Edition 9 (2016)

3. Relevant Planning History:

3.1 C06D/0500/36/LL - change of use of public house to residential house - refused 01.11.06

C04D/0294/36/LL - change of use of public house to residential house - refused 20.08.04

4. Consultations:

Community/Town Refused, in order to give time to purchase it and retain it

Council: as a village resource

No objection

Transportation Unit: No observations

Wales:

Natural Resources

Welsh Water: Standard advice

PLANNING COMMITTEE	DATE: 26/02/2018
REPORT OF THE SENIOR PLANNING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION MANAGER	DOLGELLAU

Public Consultation:

A notice was posted on the site and nearby residents were notified. The advertisement period has expired and several letters/correspondences were received objecting on the following grounds:

- It would be contrary to local and national policies
- Loss of community resource
- There is a local campaign to purchase it
- It brings economic benefit
- No other similar resources nearby
- Detrimental impact on the Welsh language
- The business could be viable
- General detrimental impact on the area
- Sale price too high
- No local marketing
- Shop and post office already closed
- Lack of consultation on the planning application
- Historical applications have been refused

As well as the above objections, objections were received that were not material planning objections and these included:

- Fall in house prices
- Detrimental impact on existing holiday accommodation businesses

5. Assessment of the material planning considerations:

The principle of the development

- As a starting point to assess the principle of this application, policy ISA 2: Local Development Plan Community Facilities, must be considered. The policy aims to protect existing facilities and encourage the development of new facilities where appropriate. For the purpose of the policy, community facilities are defined as facilities used by local communities for the health, leisure, social and educational purposes and they include schools, libraries, leisure centres, health care provisions, theatres, village halls, cemeteries, places of worship, **public houses** and any other facility that provides a service for the community.
- 5.2 The policy states that change of use of a community facility should be withstood unless it is possible to comply with one of three options. Part iii. is relevant in this case, as it involves a facility that is commercially run, and evidence of the following must be presented:
 - That the current use has ceased to be financially viable

 The fact that the public house has closed suggests that the use as a public house is not viable. Information submitted with the application from an accountancy firm confirms a decline in the turnover of the business over a number of years. It must be noted that this reflects national trends where a high number of public

PLANNING COMMITTEE	DATE: 26/02/2018
REPORT OF THE SENIOR PLANNING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION MANAGER	DOLGELLAU

houses are closing due to a lack of custom and/or profitability. On this basis, it is deemed reasonable to consider the use to be inviable.

- that it could not reasonable be expected to become financially viable Information submitted states that the chances of this property reopening as a public house are very slight considering the current national trend; namely, that four public houses close every week; and despite marketing through sellers specialising in this type of business, and despite reducing the price, no interest was expressed and no offers were received. It is noted that an attempt was made to present special offers and evenings in an attempt to attract business, that a website was created, leaflets published and a brown sign placed on the highway to try to attract customers. Considering the arguments and the fact that the building has been empty for some time despite an attempt to sell it since 2011, it can be accepted that it is unlikely that the use as a public house can be viably reinstated. Observations received claim that the way the business was run deliberately affected the business; but whatever the current owner's behaviour or business experience, it is very possible that the size of the population of Garndolbenmaen and catchment area is insufficient to maintain a business of this type at a time of financial constraint throughout the year.
- That no other community use can be established

 The building has been marketed but the seller does not propose to create another community use in its place. It appears that no interest was expressed whilst the building was on the market and no offers were received. The information submitted states that losing the public house would neither deprive nor affect the community in its entirety as there is convenient access to the nearby village hall that already hosts activities such as parties, and so on.
- That there is evidence of genuine attempts to market the facility, which have been unsuccessful

The information shows that the estate agent has tried to market the property as a public house but that efforts had proved to be unsuccessful. Confirmation of the public house's marketing details can be found in the information submitted with the application. It appears that the owner bought the property early in 2007 and had later instructed a specialist company to market the building in 2011 to be sold. It shows that the owner turned to another company in 2013 to market it at a lower price than the original price. It can be seen that this owner had returned to the original estate agent that again marketed it at a lower price than the original price and the second price. The property remains on this company's web site and has been included in specialist seller publications such as Daltons Weekly and Morning Advertiser. The applicant has stated that she had begun to inform the patrons of the public house approximately two years before it closed that the business was not viable and that they were considering selling but that no interest had been shown directly to the owners of an intention to try to buy it. It, therefore, appears, despite the marketing undertaken, that there was a lack of interest in retaining the use as a public house.

5.3 Having weighed up the evidence submitted against policy ISA 2 and the fact that it is highly unlikely, based on the information to hand, that the building's use as a public house will be reinstated on account of the costs and nature of the community, it is believed that justification has been shown for the change of use. Bringing a disused building back to appropriate use is encouraged, especially in a fairly prominent site at the centre of Garndolbenmaen and in an area with a mostly residential character.

PLANNING COMMITTEE	DATE: 26/02/2018
REPORT OF THE SENIOR PLANNING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION MANAGER	DOLGELLAU

- 5.4 An observation was received from the Economic Development service based on the information submitted with the application, which stated that a rural public house business faced a number of such challenges and that they had assessed the information submitted and that it confirmed that it was not viable in its current form.
- 5.5 The Joint Planning Policy Unit, in its response to the consultation on the application, states that the main policy that should be considered in this case is Policy MAN 4, as it involves the safeguarding of shops and public houses in villages and provides guidance on the material considerations for this type of proposal. This policy states that proposals to change the use of a public house in a village should be refused unless a similar service is available within a reasonable walking distance and that the Local Planning Authority must be satisfied by demonstrating that the use is no longer financially viable, through placing it on the market for a reasonable sale or rental price. The Policy Unit states that it is clear in the case of Garndolbenmaen, that there is no other public house within reasonable walking distance and that the proposal must, therefore, be considered in the context of the second criterion, namely, that the unit has been empty for an extended period and that it has been marketed for a reasonable price for a continuous period of 12 months. From the evidence submitted with the application, it is believed that the policy's material requirements have been adhered to, namely the information relating to marketing the building as a pub since 2011 and that there is justification for changing the use in this case, as explained above.
- 5.6 There is no specific policy for converting buildings within Local, Rural and Coastal Villages into residential houses. However, Strategic Policy PS 17: Settlement Strategy provides guidance on the way housing developments are expected to be distributed based on the level of service provision, the size and capacity of the settlement. In terms of a village such as Garndolbenmaen, the site is expected to be within the development boundary and be of a size, scale, type and design that is in-keeping with the character of the settlement. Although this application does not consist of a new development, it could be argued that it is a semi-windfall site within a development boundary and is, therefore, also acceptable from the material aspects of policies TAI 4, PS 16 and PCYFF 1. Since the proposal involves converting a public house into one house, negotiating an affordable provision will not be required in this case as, in truth, it is an extension to an existing residential unit (the flat) rather than the creation of a brand new living unit. Since the top floors of the building have been used for residential purposes for a number of years, the change from the previous situation to this situation would not be significant. It is, therefore, considered that the principle of changing the public house use to a residential house meets the aim of the strategy and brings a partly disused building back to full and appropriate use in the neighbourhood.

Visual amenities

5.7 Other than removing the sign, there is no intention to carry out any external changes to the building; therefore, it is not believed that there would be any visual harm to the amenities of the area as a result of this use and, consequently, it is considered to be acceptable from the point of view of this element.

General and residential amenities

5.8 It could be argued that changing the use from a public house to a residential house would be an improvement, in terms of considering the impact on the amenities of nearby residents and the general amenities of the area. There would be less disruption and less coming and going from residential use and less traffic. The proposal is,

PLANNING COMMITTEE	DATE: 26/02/2018
REPORT OF THE SENIOR PLANNING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION	DOLGELLAU
MANAGER	DOLGELLAU

therefore, believed to be acceptable in terms of this aspect and meets the relevant requirements of policy PCYFF 2.

Transport and access matters

5.9 The existing vehicular access to the site is past the gable end of the building that leads to a private car park that is at the rear. A class three county road runs past the front of the site. The Transportation Unit is satisfied with the application and on the grounds of these observations and considering the less intensive residential nature of the building compared with the commercial use as a public house, it is believed that the application satisfies the relevant requirements of policies TRA 2 and TRA 4.

Relevant Planning History

5.10 It is acknowledged that two previous applications were submitted and refused for the exact same proposal to convert this public house into a residential house. Firstly, both these applications date back over ten years at a time when a Development Plan and other policies existed. Nevertheless, both applications were refused on the grounds of non-compliance with a policy that protected public houses. What is seen from this planning history is that insufficient evidence was submitted to justify the change on both occasions and, therefore, it is believed that consideration was given at these specific times to the lack of information as submitted.

Response to the public consultation

- 5.11 As previously referred to, observations/objections to the proposal have been received from local residents and a petition objecting to the proposal which raised a number of matters relating to the proposed development and recent history of the business.
- 5.12 The relevant matters are too numerous to consider one by one, but it is considered that these matters have received thorough consideration in the above assessment.
- 5.13 Matters that can be considered as material planning matters are quite specific, consideration is not given to all the matters raised in the observations such as houses losing value, detrimental impact on holiday accommodation businesses, etc. as they are not material according to planning legislation.

6. Conclusions:

6.1 It is believed that sufficient evidence has been submitted to prove that the use as a public house is not viable and although reference has been made in observations received to the intention to attempt to buy it locally, there is no strong evidence to suggest that the use would be likely to be reinstated in the near future. It is, therefore, believed that in this instance there is justification for the proposed change of use. Considering the above and having considered all material planning matters, including local and national policies and guidance and all the observations received, including those of the Economic Development and Joint Planning Policy Unit, it is not believed that this application is unacceptable and that it, consequently, complies with the relevant requirements of the policies as noted above.

7. Recommendation:

7.1 Approve - conditions

PLANNING COMMITTEE	DATE: 26/02/2018
REPORT OF THE SENIOR PLANNING AND PUBLIC PROTECTION MANAGER	DOLGELLAU

- Time
 Comply with plans
 Removal of permitted development rights